
THE
INSURANCE AND
REINSURANCE
LAW REVIEW

FOURTH EDITION

EDITOR
PETER ROGAN

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

The Insurance and Reinsurance Law Review
Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Insurance and Reinsurance Law Review, 4th edition
(published in April 2016 – editor Peter Rogan).

For further information please email
nick.barette@lbresearch.com

THE
INSURANCE AND
REINSURANCE
LAW REVIEW

Fourth Edition

Editor
PETER ROGAN

LAW BUSINESS RESEARCH LTD

PUBLISHER
Gideon Robertson

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER
Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS
Thomas Lee, Felicity Bown, Joel Woods

ACCOUNT MANAGER
Jessica Parsons

MARKETING COORDINATOR
Rebecca Mogridge

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT
Sophie Arkell

HEAD OF PRODUCTION
Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR
Anne Borthwick, Robbie Kelly

SUBEDITOR
Anna Andreoli, Tessa Brummitt

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom
by Law Business Research Ltd, London
87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK
© 2016 Law Business Research Ltd
www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying; copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of April 2016, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-91-2

Printed in Great Britain by
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire
Tel: 0844 2480 112

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND
TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND
INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW
THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW
THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW
THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW
THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW
THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW
THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW
THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW
THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW
THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW
THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW
THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW
THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW
THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW
THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW
THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW
THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW
THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW
THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW
THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW
THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW
THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW
THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW
THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ANJIE LAW FIRM

BIRD & BIRD ADVOKATPARTNERSELSKAB

BUN & ASSOCIATES

CLAYTON UTZ

CLYDE & CO LLP

CONYERS DILL & PEARMAN LIMITED

CROWELL & MORING LLP

GBF ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW LTD

GROSS ORAD SCHLIMOFF & CO

GÜN + PARTNERS

INCE & CO

JORQUIERA & ROZAS ABOGADOS

LAW OFFICES CHOI & KIM

LC RODRIGO ABOGADOS

LEE AND LI, ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

MAPLES AND CALDER

MATHESON

NADER, HAYAUX & GOEBEL

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP

STUDIO LEGALE GIORGETTI

TULI & CO

URÍA MENÉNDEZ – PROENÇA DE CARVALHO

WOLF THEISS RECHTSANWÄLTE GMBH & CO KG

CONTENTS

Editor's Prefacevii
	<i>Peter Rogan</i>
Chapter 1	INSURANCE CONTRACT LAW REFORM..... 1
	<i>Simon Cooper</i>
Chapter 2	AUSTRALIA 7
	<i>David Gerber and Craig Hine</i>
Chapter 3	AUSTRIA..... 19
	<i>Ralph Hofmann-Credner</i>
Chapter 4	BERMUDA 32
	<i>Christian Luthi and Michael Frith</i>
Chapter 5	BRAZIL 51
	<i>Bruno Balduccini, Diógenes Gonçalves and Thomaz del Castillo Barroso Kastrup</i>
Chapter 6	CAMBODIA..... 63
	<i>Antoine Fontaine</i>
Chapter 7	CAYMAN ISLANDS 77
	<i>Tim Frawley and John Dykstra</i>
Chapter 8	CHILE..... 89
	<i>Ricardo Rozas</i>
Chapter 9	CHINA..... 100
	<i>Zhan Hao</i>

Chapter 10	COLOMBIA.....	112
	<i>Neil Beresford and Raquel Rubio</i>	
Chapter 11	DENMARK.....	134
	<i>Philip Graff</i>	
Chapter 12	ENGLAND AND WALES	145
	<i>Simon Cooper and Mona Patel</i>	
Chapter 13	GERMANY.....	165
	<i>Markus Eichhorst</i>	
Chapter 14	GREECE.....	183
	<i>Dimitris Kapsis and Dimitris Giomelakis</i>	
Chapter 15	INDIA	194
	<i>Neeraj Tuli and Celia Jenkins</i>	
Chapter 16	IRELAND	205
	<i>Sharon Daly, Darren Maher and April McClements</i>	
Chapter 17	ISRAEL.....	219
	<i>Harry Orad</i>	
Chapter 18	ITALY	229
	<i>Alessandro P Giorgetti</i>	
Chapter 19	JAPAN.....	249
	<i>Shinichi Takahashi, Keita Yamamoto, Yoshihide Matsushita and Takahiro Sato</i>	
Chapter 20	KOREA.....	266
	<i>S W Park and J H Shin</i>	
Chapter 21	LATIN AMERICA OVERVIEW.....	275
	<i>Duncan Strachan</i>	

Chapter 22	MEXICO.....	286
	<i>Yves Hayaux-du-Tilly</i>	
Chapter 23	PORTUGAL.....	300
	<i>Pedro Ferreira Malaquias and Helder Frias</i>	
Chapter 24	SPAIN	314
	<i>Jorge Angell</i>	
Chapter 25	SWITZERLAND	329
	<i>Lars Gerspacher and Roger Thalmann</i>	
Chapter 26	TAIWAN	341
	<i>C T Chang, Trisha Chang and Jessica Wang</i>	
Chapter 27	TURKEY.....	355
	<i>Pelin Baysal and Bensu Aydin</i>	
Chapter 28	UNITED STATES.....	368
	<i>Michael T Carolan, Paul W Kalish, William C O'Neill and Rachel P Raphael</i>	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS.....	385
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS.....	403

EDITOR'S PREFACE

It is hard to overstate the importance of insurance in personal and commercial life. It is the key means by which individuals and businesses are able to reduce the financial impact of a risk occurring. Reinsurance is equally significant; it protects insurers against very large claims and helps to obtain an international spread of risk. Insurance and reinsurance play an important role in the world economy. It is an increasingly global industry, with the emerging markets of Brazil, Russia, India and China developing apace.

Given the expanding reach of the industry, there is a need for a source of reference that analyses recent developments in the key jurisdictions on a comparative basis. This volume, to which leading insurance and reinsurance practitioners around the world have made valuable contributions, seeks to fulfil that need. I would like to thank all of the contributors for their work in compiling this volume.

Looking back on the past year, market estimates suggest that the insured losses flowing from the explosion at the Port of Tianjin in China may well exceed US\$3 billion. The losses will arise on a wide variety of policies ranging from cargo, property and ports and terminals insurance through to product liability and business interruption. Those policies will be subject to a range of governing laws. It is likely that there will be complex issues to consider in relation to each of these types of coverage, both at the direct insurance and reinsurance levels. The US winter storm of January 2016 is predicted to be a multi-billion dollar loss event. In the UK, December 2015 was the wettest on record. Denial of access to homes and businesses was significant, and the insurance market is braced for the ensuing losses.

Events such as these test not only insurers and reinsurers but also the rigour of the law. Insurance and reinsurance disputes provide a never-ending array of complex legal issues and new points for the courts and arbitral tribunals to consider. I hope that you find this fourth edition of *The Insurance and Reinsurance Law Review* of use in seeking to understand them and I would like once again to thank all the contributors.

Peter Rogan

Ince & Co

London

April 2016

Chapter 7

CAYMAN ISLANDS

*Tim Frawley and John Dykstra*¹

I INTRODUCTION

The insurance market in the Cayman Islands is divided into domestic business, captive insurance, special purpose vehicle (SPV) insurance and commercial reinsurance.

Domestic business is conducted primarily by companies incorporated in the Cayman Islands, although a number of approved external insurers are also permitted to write insurance (e.g., Lloyd's of London). Some external insurers have manned offices in the Cayman Islands while others operate through local agents.

Captive insurance business may be taken to be all insurance (and reinsurance) business where the premiums originate from the insurer's related business. The captive market began to develop in the late 1970s and there has been a steady natural growth since then. As of 31 December 2015, the Cayman Islands international insurance market reported total premiums of US\$12.7 billion, with US\$59 billion in total assets.² The Cayman Islands is the leading jurisdiction for healthcare captives, representing almost half of all captives. Medical malpractice liability continues to be the largest primary line of business in the Cayman Islands with 239 companies.³ The other significant class for captives is workers' compensation coverage, which is the second-largest primary line of business in the Cayman Islands with 149 companies.⁴

1 Tim Frawley and John Dykstra are partners at Maples and Calder. The authors would like to thank Kaneesa Ebanks-Wilson for her assistance with the preparation of this chapter and Mac Imrie for his assistance with the section on dispute resolution.

2 Insurance statistics and regulated entities as maintained by the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority.

3 Ibid.

4 Ibid.

SPV insurance is driven principally by the insurance-linked securities market, in particular, the catastrophe bond market. Cayman is the leading market for the formation and licensing of SPV insurers.

The commercial reinsurance market is an area seeing interest and growth. Together with a number of other factors, the introduction of a dedicated reinsurer's licence (Class D) under the Insurance Law has helped facilitate this.

II REGULATION

The body responsible for regulating the insurance and reinsurance business in the Cayman Islands is the Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (Authority). The Insurance Division of the Authority discharges those responsibilities. The Authority operates independently of government and meets international standards of supervision, accountability and transparency.

The Insurance Law was first enacted in the Cayman Islands in 1979. Since that time it has been updated periodically to ensure that the jurisdiction maintains a strong regulatory framework. At the end of 2012, the Insurance Law, 2010 (as amended, the Law) came into force, bringing a new insurance regulatory regime into effect. The new regime provides for greater regulatory transparency for existing and prospective licensees, and streamlines the regulation of licensed entities.

There are currently no proposals to achieve Solvency II equivalence for the Cayman Islands regulatory regime.

i Insurance licensing

All persons carrying on or desiring to carry on insurance business, reinsurance business, or business as an insurance agent, insurance broker, or insurance manager in or from within the Cayman Islands need to be licensed by the Authority. Insurers are licensed under one or more of the following categories:

- a* Class A – for carrying on of domestic business or limited reinsurance business as approved by the Authority;
- b* Class B – for the carrying on of insurance business other than domestic business (however, a Class B insurer may carry on domestic business where such business forms less than 5 per cent of net premiums written or where the Authority has otherwise granted approval). Class B insurers are further categorised based on net premiums written, where:
 - Class B(i) – at least 95 per cent of the net premiums written will originate from the insurer's related business;⁵
 - Class B(ii) – over 50 per cent of the net premiums written will originate from the insurer's related business; or
 - Class B(iii) – 50 per cent or less of the net premiums written will originate from the insurer's related business;
- c* Class C – for the carrying on of insurance business involving the provision of reinsurance arrangements in respect of which the insurance obligations of the Class C

5 'Related business' is defined under the Law as business that originates from the insurer's members or the members of any group with which it is related through common ownership or a common risk management plan, or as determined by the Authority.

insurer are limited in recourse to and collateralised by the Class C insurer's funding sources or the proceeds of such funding sources that include the issuance of bonds or other instruments, contracts for differences and such other funding mechanisms approved by the Authority. Typically such licensees would be 'cat-bond insurers' or 'special purpose insurers'; and

- d* Class D – for the carrying on of reinsurance business and such other business as may be approved in respect of any individual licence by the Authority.

Agents, brokers and managers are required to be licensed as follows:

- a* 'Insurance agent' licence, for the soliciting of domestic business on behalf of not more than one general insurer and one long-term insurer;
- b* 'Insurance broker' licence, for arranging or procuring, directly or through representatives, insurance or reinsurance contracts or the continuance of such contracts on behalf of existing or prospective policyholders; and
- c* 'Insurance manager' licence, for providing insurance expertise to or for Class B or Class C insurers.

ii Organisation of licensees

Except for domestic business, where external insurers are permitted, only an entity incorporated under the Companies Law (2013 Revision) of the Cayman Islands (Companies Law) or registered by way of continuation and that has a minimum of two directors (who have been approved by the Authority to be fit and proper persons) may be granted a licence by the Authority.

An insurance broker, an insurance manager, a Class A insurer or a Class D insurer is required to have a place of business in the Cayman Islands while a Class B insurer or a Class C insurer (unless it maintains permanently a place of business approved by the Authority) is required to appoint an insurance manager in the Cayman Islands that has been licensed by the Authority and maintain, at the insurance manager's place of business (or at another location approved by the Authority), full and proper records of the business activities of the Class B insurer or Class C insurer.

iii Licensing requirements

Every licensee is required to carry on insurance business in accordance with its approved licence application and business plan submitted to the Authority (as modified by any subsequent changes as approved in writing by the Authority). To satisfy the Authority's licensing requirements, an applicant is required to ensure that:

- a* the persons carrying on the business to which the application relates are fit and proper to be directors, managers or officers in their respective positions;
- b* it is able to comply with the Law and the Money Laundering Regulations (2015 Revision) of the Cayman Islands;
- c* the grant of a licence will not be against the public interest of the Cayman Islands;
- d* it has personnel with the necessary skills, knowledge and experience and such facilities and such books and records as the Authority considers appropriate, having regard to the nature and scale of the business;
- e* the structure of its insurance group, if any, will not hinder effective supervision; and
- f* its capital complies with the prescribed level.

iv Capital and solvency requirements

Every applicant for an insurer's licence needs to comply with the prescribed capital and solvency requirements. The prescribed capital and solvency requirements for each category of licence are set out in the relevant insurance regulations.

v Segregated portfolio companies (SPCs)

Since 1998, the Companies Law has provided for the formation of SPCs. An SPC is a single legal entity divided into an unlimited number of portfolios, the assets and liabilities of which are legally segregated from each other. The potential uses are varied and include rent-a-captives, life insurance, reinsurance and composite insurers. An insurer that is not a Class D insurer and not a Class B insurer incorporated as an SPC must be separately licensed for long-term and for general business.

In this context, 'general business' is all insurance business other than 'long-term business', which means insurance business involving the making of contracts of insurance:

- a* on human life or contracts to pay annuities on human life, including linked policies, but excluding contracts for credit life insurance and term life insurance other than convertible and renewable term life contracts;
- b* against risks of the persons insured:
 - sustaining injury as the result of an accident or of an accident of a specified class;
 - dying as the result of an accident or of an accident of a specified class; or
 - becoming incapacitated in consequence of disease or diseases of a specified class;
 - being contracts that are expressed to be in effect for a period of not less than five years or without limit of time and either not expressed to be terminable by the insurer before the expiration of five years from the taking effect thereof or expressed to be so terminable before the expiration of that period only in special circumstances therein mentioned; and
- c* whether by bonds, endowment certificates or otherwise whereby in return for one or more premiums paid to the insurer a sum or series of sums is to become payable to the person insured in the future, not being contracts falling within (a) or (b).

vi Portfolio insurance companies (PICs)

The relevant provisions of the Law allowing SPCs to register subsidiary companies as PICs with the Authority came into force on 16 January 2015. A PIC may be able to write insurance business without the need for a separate insurance licence, provided its SPC parent is licensed. The principal aim of PICs is to provide SPCs with a mechanism that facilitates risk-sharing arrangements between portfolios. The introduction of PICs therefore provides a means by which SPCs can transact insurance business between segregated portfolios. PICs also facilitate the incubation of smaller captives, which might wish, at a later stage, to spin-off as stand-alone captives.

PICs have the express power to contract with the parent SPC, any segregated portfolio of the parent SPC and any other PIC related to the parent SPC. This is of particular importance as it now allows for segregated portfolios within the SPC structure to participate in different portfolio insurance strategies. Each PIC is a separate legal entity from the SPC and each other PIC. This facilitates the drafting of legal documentation as each entity is a distinct legal person, which in turn streamlines compliance with the requirements of the Companies Law.

The Law also provides an option for the automatic novation and vesting with the PIC of all assets and liabilities of a segregated portfolio either at the time of registration of the PIC with the Authority or within 30 days after registration – all of which makes it easy for existing SPC insurers to incorporate a PIC and to move the insurance business from a segregated portfolio to a PIC.

It is expected that implementation of the PIC provisions will give SPCs greater appeal to smaller captive users and captive programme providers. A captive can be established on an SPC platform using a PIC and, as and when the programme grows to the point of justifying its existence on a stand-alone basis, the PIC can simply be spun-off from the SPC and apply for its own insurance licence.

vii Share issuances and transfers

A licensee cannot issue shares totalling more than 10 per cent of its authorised share capital without the prior approval of the Authority. In addition, a licensee cannot transfer shares totalling more than 10 per cent of the issued share capital, or total voting rights, without the prior approval of the Authority.

viii Annual requirements

Every insurer is required to pay the prescribed annual fee on or before 15 January every year after the first grant of its insurance licence. A licensee who fails to pay the prescribed annual fee on time may be subject to penalty fees.

Every licensee is required to comply with continuing requirements under the Law. As such, all licensees are required to appoint auditors approved by the Authority. In addition, and subject to certain exceptions, all insurers are required to submit by way of annual return to the Authority audited financial statements, an actuarial valuation of their assets and liabilities, a certification of solvency, written confirmation that the information set out in the application for the licence (including the business plan), as modified by any subsequent changes approved by the Authority, remains correct, and such other information as may be prescribed by the Authority.

ix The position of unlicensed insurers

An unlicensed insurer carrying on insurance business in the Cayman Islands would be guilty of an offence and liable on summary conviction to a fine of CI\$100,000 or to imprisonment for a term of five years, or to both.⁶ In the case of domestic business, insurance brokers can, be permitted by the Authority to place limited amounts of such business with unlicensed foreign insurers. Accordingly, an unlicensed insurer with whom a broker can place insurance business pursuant to any such dispensation would not be considered as carrying on insurance business.⁷

For the purposes of the Law, a person would not be considered as carrying on insurance business solely by reason of the fact that the person effects or carries out a contract of reinsurance with an insurer in the Cayman Islands, unless that person's principal place of business is in the Cayman Islands.

6 Insurance Law, 2010, Section 3(2).

7 Insurance Law, 2010, Section 19(5).

x Intermediaries and the role of the broker

As noted above, the Authority may grant a special dispensation to an insurance broker to place a contract of domestic business with one or more insurers that are not licensed under the Law. Such dispensations are granted on a case-by-case basis only, and are subject to review at such intervals as the Authority may specify. An insurance broker who has not been granted a special dispensation shall be personally liable to the insured on all contracts of insurance placed with insurers not licensed under the Law in the same manner as if the insurance broker were the insurer.

In addition, an insurance broker is prohibited from entering into a binding authority with an insurer other than a Class D insurer.⁸ However, the Authority may grant a dispensation to an insurance broker for a fixed period (despite the duty of the insurance broker to act for the prospective insured) to enter into a binding authority with an insurer if it is satisfied that the insurance broker needs (in terms of additional capacity, policy coverage, cost savings or otherwise) the binding authority to be permitted. Such a dispensation granted by the Authority would be subject to any conditions that the Authority prescribes, including restrictions to lines of business, specific contracts, types of client and requirements for disclosure, and review at such intervals as the Authority may specify.

Under the Law, an insurance broker shall maintain in force, and comply with the conditions of cover of, professional indemnity insurance placed with an insurer licensed to carry on domestic business (or an insurer accorded special dispensation by the Authority) and provide for an indemnity of not less than US\$1 million for any one loss, or such other figure as may be prescribed by the Authority. The professional indemnity insurance shall extend to include the activities conducted on behalf of the insurance broker and be subject to review by the Authority. In the event that the professional indemnity insurance is invalidated, becomes voidable or is withdrawn, cancelled or not renewed, the broker shall immediately notify the Authority and shall forthwith cease to solicit further insurance business until the professional indemnity insurance has been reinstated or replaced.⁹

III INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW

i Sources of law

As noted above, the Law came into force at the end of 2012 and governs insurance regulation in the Cayman Islands, including the authorisation and regulation of insurers, reinsurers, insurance managers, insurance brokers and insurance agents. While the Cayman Islands has its own body of case law, English case law is also of persuasive authority and may often be cited in court.

ii Making the contract

Parties

The insurance contract will normally be made between two parties, the insurer and the insured. Both parties may be carrying on insurance (or reinsurance) business as in the case of reinsurance or retrocession.

8 Insurance Law, 2010, Section 19(1).

9 Insurance Law, 2010, Section 13(1)–(3).

Insurable interest

There is no statutory requirement for insurable interest in Cayman Islands law, although English common law may be taken to imply a requirement for insurance interest in all types of indemnity insurance. In *Rowe v. Proprietors, Strata No. 83*¹⁰ the court ruled that a party has an insurable interest if it had a legal relationship with property that renders it liable to pay money in the event of it being damaged. In this case, the strata by-laws included a contractual obligation to keep the property insured and this was held to give the strata corporation an insurable interest.

Formation

Consistent with English common law, contracts under Cayman Islands law do not need to be in writing. In practice, policies are issued in writing and, for the purposes of regulatory policy, documentation must be available for inspection by the Authority and meet certain requirements.

Disclosure and misrepresentation

The general principles of English insurance common law regarding non-disclosure and misrepresentation have been followed in the Cayman Islands as demonstrated by the decisions of *Zeller v. British Caymanian Insurance Company Ltd*¹¹ and *McLaughlin v. American Home Assurance Company*.¹²

In *Zeller*, the Court of Appeal upheld the judgment, applying the English authority *Economides v. Commercial Union Assurance Co Plc*¹³ and ruled by a majority that the insurance policy was voidable for non-disclosure, confirming that as a contract in utmost good faith the appellant was under a duty to disclose all that a reasonable person would have considered material, being disclosure of all that he ought to have realised was material and not what he did in fact realise was so.

The decisions in *Zeller* were, however, overruled on appeal by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, thereby declaring that the respondent insurer's notice of cancellation of the appellant's health insurance cover was invalid and of no legal effect. The Privy Council concluded in the case that the basis of the contract was that the statements made by the appellant in the application form were true to the best of his knowledge and belief, which it considered to be consistent with the approach of the Court of Appeal of England and Wales in *Economides*.

The essence of the judgment was that, on the facts of the case, given the construction of the health questionnaire, the appellant was expected to exercise his judgement on what appeared to him to be worth disclosing. He thereby did not lose cover after failing to disclose a complaint that he thought to be trivial but that later turned out to be a symptom of a much more serious underlying condition.

In *McLaughlin*, a case primarily concerning proof of arson and a fraudulent insurance claim, it was confirmed *obiter dicta*, pursuant to the English authority *Pan Atlantic Insurance*

10 (Grand Court), 2009 CILR N [31].

11 [2004–2005] CILR 464 (CA) and 283 (Grand Court), and [2008] CILR 11 (Privy Council).

12 [1994–1995] CILR N-18 and [1996] CILR N-6.

13 [1998] QB 587.

Co Ltd v. Pine Top Insurance Co Ltd,¹⁴ that for an insurer to be entitled to void a policy for misrepresentation or non-disclosure, not only does it have to be material, but in addition it has to have induced the making of the policy on the relevant terms. On the facts, it was ruled that a previous fire at the premises that had caused damage, but for which an insurance claim had not been made, was not material since it would not have induced the making of the contract on the relevant terms.

iii Interpreting the contract

English general principles of interpretation of contracts apply to insurance contracts in the Cayman Islands. In *Jackson v. Cayman Insurance Company Ltd*,¹⁵ the court followed the view of Lord Goddard CJ in the English case of *Edwards v. Griffiths*,¹⁶ where he ruled that a contract should be construed against the insurer where there is an ambiguity or a doubt as to its extent; if a question should arise as to liability of the insurer, the court should apply a construction most favourable to the insured.

There is currently no case law in the Cayman Islands that has confirmed the distinction between types of conditions and warranties in insurance contracts and thus the English common law remains of persuasive authority. One case considered the interpretation of a condition in a motor policy, namely *Jackson*, whereby the insurer sought to rely on a breach of a term of the policy to deny liability. It was ruled that the breach could only obviate liability of loss to third parties caused by negligence and not loss caused by breach of a statutory provision. There was, however, no discussion of the classification of the term that had been breached.¹⁷

IV DISPUTE RESOLUTION

i Jurisdiction, choice of law and arbitration clauses

As a British overseas territory, the Cayman Islands has a democratic system of government based upon the British Westminster model. Judicial independence in the Cayman Islands is protected by the Constitution, which is a cornerstone of the system of government.

Litigation is conducted on the adversarial system, based generally on English principles of civil procedure. Because of its status as a leading offshore financial centre, the Cayman Islands courts are accustomed to dealing with complex insurance disputes, often with significant cross-border aspects.

The most common alternative to litigation is arbitration. Large commercial contracts involving Cayman Islands entities tend to have arbitration clauses. The Cayman Islands courts play a supportive role to facilitate arbitration procedures and will generally recognise and enforce foreign arbitral awards made in any of the contracting states to the New York Convention under the terms of the Convention.

14 [1989] 1 Lloyd's Rep 568.

15 [1994–1995] CILR 313 and N-19.

16 [1953] 2 All ER 874.

17 The decision actually focused on whether the policyholder was in compliance with the statutory provision as this was the requirement of the term. On the facts, the policyholder was found to be in breach of the law and therefore the term.

ii Litigation

Litigation stages

The Grand Court of the Cayman Islands (Grand Court) is the superior court of record of first instance for the Cayman Islands. The caseload of the Grand Court is divided between five divisions: civil, family, admiralty, financial services and criminal.

Insurance actions, where the amount claimed exceeds CI\$1 million, are tried in the Financial Services Division. Every proceeding in the Financial Services Division is assigned to a commercial judge, that is, one of a number of commercially experienced judges including the Chief Justice. Commercial judges sit alone, without a jury. Where the assigned judge is unavailable, urgent applications may be heard or determined by another commercial judge. Visiting judges and senior lawyers from, in particular, England, Jamaica and Canada sometimes sit as acting judges.

Appeals from the Grand Court are to the Cayman Islands Court of Appeal (which usually sits three times each year). The ultimate appellate court is the Privy Council in England.

Evidence

The issues in the litigation are defined by pleadings exchanged by the parties, including a statement of claim, a defence and (if necessary) a reply. The pleadings set out the parties' various factual allegations, and in the case of the plaintiff, the relief sought. All such allegations must be pleaded with a reasonable degree of particularity, to a level that is generally higher than what would be typical in the United States. At trial, the parties' arguments are limited to those matters set out in their pleadings.

Parties' discovery obligations are broad, and extend to all documents that are relevant to matters in issue on the pleadings or that may reasonably lead to a train of enquiry. Certain classes of privilege apply, including, most significantly, legal professional privilege.

Depositions do not form part of the usual civil procedure. There is a mechanism known as 'discovery by oral examination', which is in some ways similar to a deposition. However, only parties may be examined in this way, not witnesses. Discovery by oral examination will only be ordered in exceptional or unusual circumstances.

Evidence at trial is usually given by way of oral testimony and cross-examination. Interlocutory matters are usually decided on affidavit evidence. The Court has wide-ranging interim powers, including but not limited to the power to trace and preserve assets; order discovery or preservation of documents; and the appointment of interim receivers.

Costs

The court will normally order that the unsuccessful party pay the successful party's costs of the litigation. The costs, which are recoverable on a typical costs order, are assessed on a 'standard' basis by reference to a set of prescribed rates. The prescribed rates are invariably lower than the actual cost of litigation, and indicatively a party could expect to recover between around 50 and 70 per cent of their actual costs. However, if the court takes the view that the losing party's conduct of the litigation has been particularly unreasonable, it may order that party to pay costs on an 'indemnity' basis; in that case, recovery is not limited to the prescribed rates.

iii Arbitration

The Arbitration Law, 2012 of the Cayman Islands (Arbitration Law) modernises the arbitration law of the Cayman Islands and brings it into line with the standards applicable in most of the world's leading arbitration centres. The Arbitration Law is based on the

UNCITRAL Model Law, which has been adopted in a large number of countries, and on the Arbitration Act 1996, which applies in England, Wales and Northern Ireland and is similar to the UNCITRAL Model Law in many respects. It is expected that in interpreting the Arbitration Law the Cayman Islands courts will have regard to decisions of the courts of these countries where the provisions of the Arbitration Law are the same or substantially the same as those of the 1996 Act, which they are in many cases.

The Arbitration Law is founded on the following principles:

- a* the object of arbitration is to obtain the fair resolution of disputes by an impartial arbitral tribunal without undue delay or undue expense;
- b* the parties should be free to agree how their disputes are resolved, subject only to such safeguards as are necessary in the public interest; and
- c* in matters governed by the Arbitration Law the court should not intervene except as provided in the Arbitration Law.

Arbitration agreement

An arbitration agreement may be in the form of an arbitration clause in a contract or a separate agreement. An arbitration agreement that forms, or was intended to form, part of another agreement is to be treated as distinct from that agreement. Thus an arbitration clause may be valid and enforceable even though the insurance contract of which it forms part is found to be void.

Procedure and evidence

The parties of the insurance contract are free to tailor the procedures that are to be followed in the arbitration to meet their needs, subject to the mandatory provisions of the Arbitration Law.

In the absence of agreement by the parties as to the powers that may be exercised by the tribunal, the tribunal may make orders in relation to a variety of matters including: security for costs;¹⁸ disclosure of documents and interrogatories; the giving of evidence by affidavit; examination on oath or affirmation of a party or witness; and the preservation and interim custody of evidence for the purposes of the proceedings and property that forms part of the subject matter of the dispute.¹⁹

All directions given by the arbitral tribunal may, with the permission of the court, be enforceable in the same manner as if they were orders made by the court and, where such permission is given, judgment may be entered in the terms of the directions given by the tribunal.²⁰

Costs

Costs of the arbitration are generally at the discretion of the tribunal. If the tribunal does not make provision for costs in its award, any party may apply for a direction from the tribunal within 14 days of the delivery of the award, or such further time as the tribunal allows. Costs will generally follow the event, such that the unsuccessful party will be ordered to pay the

18 Subject to the proviso in Section 38(4) that security is not to be required solely on the grounds that the claimant is an individual ordinarily resident outside the Cayman Islands, or a company formed or with its central management outside the Cayman Islands.

19 Arbitration Law, 2012, Section 38.

20 Arbitration Law, 2012, Section 38(5).

successful party's costs. Only the costs of attorneys admitted to practise in the Cayman Islands are recoverable and this includes the costs of foreign attorneys who have been granted limited admission to the Cayman Islands for the purpose of appearing or advising in proceedings.

iv Alternative dispute resolution

There is no formal requirement in the Cayman Islands to pursue alternative dispute resolution (ADR). The Grand Court Rules require parties to deal with each case in a just, expeditious and economical manner and judges encourage the parties to pursue ADR where appropriate. Although the court cannot compel the parties to use ADR, there will usually be costs consequences where the parties do not follow such a suggestion. ADR methods such as mediation, early neutral evaluation and expert determination are still relatively uncommon in the Cayman Islands.

V YEAR IN REVIEW

The Cayman Islands continues to see an increase in the use of SPV insurers by commercial reinsurers accessing the capital markets to distribute reinsurance risk. This market first developed in the Cayman Islands in the mid-1990s and the Cayman Islands quickly became the home to almost all catastrophe bond transactions. The Class C licence regime, aimed at SPV insurers such as cat bond issuers, is recognition of the fact that these transactions require a very different level of regulatory oversight, given the collateralised limited recourse nature of the payment obligations.

The Cayman Islands is also continuing to develop as an insurance and reinsurance domicile, as evidenced by the number of licences being pursued by fund sponsored reinsurance vehicles, as well as other direct write vehicles. As the leading domicile for private equity and hedge funds, the Cayman Islands is ideally placed to be the domicile for insurers and reinsurers affiliated with investment funds. Licences are also being pursued for novel transactions that provide innovative solutions to the transfer of longevity risk.

VI OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS

In 2013, new legislation was enacted (but not given effect) that will provide for Class B(iv) licensees. The B(iv) licensee is broadly similar to the B(iii) licensee but distinguished by reference to premium volume. It is expected that this new piece of legislation will come into force during 2016.

The recent overhaul of the insurance regulatory regime has had a positive impact on the insurance and reinsurance industry in the Cayman Islands. New reinsurers have established themselves in the jurisdiction and others are in the process of being set up. The government, working together with local service providers, is committed to growing the industry in the Cayman Islands. The efforts to date, culminating in the enactment of the new insurance regulatory regime, have yielded very positive results in the short period since the

updated regime came into effect. Anecdotal evidence suggests the principal factors in play are Solvency II in other jurisdictions, the new regulatory regime in the Cayman Islands, the desire to find risk-adjusted returns, and current insurance conditions (e.g., low annuity rates).

With a new momentum driven by the new insurance regime and a renewed effort of the jurisdiction to establish itself as a leading reinsurance domicile, it can be expected that other insurance products will also make increasing use of the jurisdiction.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

TIM FRAWLEY

Maples and Calder

Since moving to the Cayman Islands office of Maples and Calder in 2001, Tim Frawley has been involved with Cayman insurance, reinsurance and alternative risk transfer products. His experience includes working with captive insurers, insurance managers and brokers. Tim also has experience with hedge fund-sponsored reinsurers and sidecars, as well as the domestic insurance industry. He participated in the Insurance Law 2010 steering committee and has assisted with the legislative drafting process. Tim has been recommended for insurance and reinsurance by *Chambers Global* and *The Legal 500*.

JOHN DYKSTRA

Maples and Calder

John Dykstra is a partner in the Cayman Islands office of Maples and Calder. His practice includes a focus on structured finance, including catastrophe bond transactions and other similar insurance-linked securities transactions. John is recommended for insurance and reinsurance by *Who's Who Legal* and is noted in *Chambers Global* and *The Legal 500* for catastrophe bond transactions.

MAPLES AND CALDER

PO Box 309, Ugland House
South Church Street
Grand Cayman KY1-1104
Cayman Islands
Tel: +1 345 949 8066
Fax: +1 345 949 8080
tim.frawley@maplesandcalder.com
john.dykstra@maplesandcalder.com
www.maplesandcalder.com